Substantive Societal Dynamics

Atraills Knight Sanderson

Abstract: We review isolates regarding forms of democratic capitalist republic government systems. We review and discuss the dynamics associated with various societal components isolated to their substance level.

1 Introduction When within a republic government system it may seem that the wise choice would be middle of the road or independent because balance is counter extremism. Though really all this does is causes a sharp incline in the direction of the republican dipole, because democrats don't have a similar dipole and you are less within the democratic force carrier. Utilizing similar to command line protocols allows for an ease of an always ran system and a dependent isolate within said system.

[Constitutional] Republican (+) System >
Republican (-) <independent> Democrat (Neutral)

Democrat is simply a force carrier for the idea of democracy through the inherently republican system. Republican is the system. This causes a dipole effect for republican operations as opposed to democrat operations due to republic being a system and democracy being a carrier of the democratic protocol. Democratic protocol is not a system, rather an extension with the ideal of the populace having a say in systematic operations. From here we can run precursor operations:

[Constitutional] Republican (+) System >
Conservative (-) Isolate-> Republican (-) Isolate-> Confederate (-) Isolate

This being the entire confederate precursor sequence, why are they equivalent? They are bidirectional, which becomes an equivalence protocol as well as Conservative and Confederate is charged the same as the Republican charge denoted in the initial charge discussion, which is negative (-).

[Constitutional] Republican (+) System >
Conservative (-) Isolate<> Republican (-) Isolate<>Confederate (-) Isolate

Equivalent to:

[Constitutional] Republican (+) System >
Conservative (-) Isolate = Republican (-) Isolate = Confederate (-) Isolate

The true nature of a dipole is that it's charged the same, but in different directions. The dipole is not republican-democrat, a dipole is:

(-)Republican-Republican(+)

This is the reason for starting the description of such system with republican, to showcase the nature of the dipole. To understand why it still works, we must understand republicans with left-wing leaning views as not being pure republicans, they instead are (Republican (-) (Neutral)) which showcases their hybrid nature and share of certain alignments with progressive democrats as well as conservatives. This aligns with taking account for conservative democrats (Conservative (-) Democrat (Neutral)) as being similarly vehicles for right-wing fascist views and/or policies/protocols on top of democratic views. Right wing politics are how The United States of America has gotten to a fascist point. That's the encompassing common point for how we've arrived at Trump's Fascism, it's the common point for Fascism as a whole. That and progressive republicans who rarely push for left wing politics, at such a point these are problem politicians as well whose default state is still right wing, while being hybrid. As mentioned another problem politician type accounted for in this theory are democrat right wingers, conservative democrats, watch this:

[Constitutional] Republican (+) System >

(Conservative (-) Democrat (Neutral))

They are already isolated as being more in the dipole and less with democratic ideals.

So keep right wing terms in the dipole, for instance if you were adding neoliberal since I hadn't specified yet, it would be:

[Constitutional] Republican (+) System >

Neoliberal (-)

This showcases that this too is non-ideal and is right-wing.

[Constitutional] Republican (+) System >

Neoconservative (-)

This shows their manipulation tactic as well.

A main thing being that hybrid types end up dragging towards the dipole, such that if a democrat has right wing leaning views, make sure you calibrate their hybrid nature with the dipole, even if you don't have the proper word you can just add democrat after republican to account for a hybrid republican:

[Constitutional] Republican (+) System >

(Republican (-) Democrat (Neutral))

Or if a democrat is right leaning you can factor it in wordlessly by:

[Constitutional] Republican (+) System >

((-) Democrat (Neutral))

As in the end the charged components are what give this system scientific merit.

(+) System >

(Right-Wing (-) (Neutral))

-

(+) System >

((-) Left-Wing (Neutral))

-

(+) System >

((-)(Neutral))

Democracy is just the idea that you get to have a say. A republic is a system. It's the system. Democrat is a concept of equality and anti-authoritarian reign. Though be careful of certain liberal democrats given what was mentioned about liberal being a manipulatively used word which can align with right-wing or left-wing. Be careful of ((-)(Neutral)) Republicans, be careful of ((-)(Neutral)) Democrats. The republican authoritarian nature is still there in Germany is why gun control is rough despite isolating and discarding the problem fascist party, because as above, so below. The thing is if you choose Republican Party while below, you are more absent of democracy. America's constitutional republic prevents authoritarian action reaching certain areas that a patriarchal republic would, as there as amendments which are restrictions.

2 Conservative-Republican-Confederate Isolate How we know conservatives are a confederate isolate:

If someone fights for conservative values or a traditional workplace, they share the equivalent to a confederate's plight. This means all modern conservatives would politically relate to Confederates. To be Union would be to fight for left-wing ideas, even if they said that they were conservative, this fades with time and is the antithesis to the isolate of the union.

There's reason to distinguish between confederate conservative and union conservative, this just shows they are different things which weren't properly encapsulated and differentiated, and the difference is a union conservative fought for liberal ideas yet just said they were conservative. It would be dangerous and disingenuous to not differentiate this dynamic; it would allow the same party as confederates & those endangering our country right very now to stay in place. The only way that a modern conservative would be one of the marginal conservatives on the Union side is if they spend their time arguing for something liberal, which would disqualify them these days, they would have to flip. They were just holding onto that label but really they were so barely conservative even at its most extreme that they were fighting for progressive left-winged ideas and become inherently progressive when you encapsulate it, or it wouldn't be an isolate encapsulation of the union.

This means to encapsulate the isolate of the Union you'd find progressive pushes taking precedent across even conservatives (who would identity less as conservative as time passed), to encapsulate the isolate of the confederacy they were the pure conservative isolate pushing for pure conservative values similar to those baring veterans any benefits just because it's traditional. This means writing them out for safety isn't a bad thing in any sense because they are confederates unless they fight for liberal values, which would make them disqualified from the modern conservative community, the civil war confederate community, as well as no longer an isolate encapsulation. It would make them not conservative isolate, and rather dicondederate union or such, being covalent confederate isolate bond(s) with union isolate bond(s). We have to isolate it at that point to properly encapsulate a pure result.

3 Conclusion By utilizing command line structure and substance dynamics we can acquire scientific insight into government systems. Through isolating components as well as inspecting dynamics associated with their relative charge we are able to run individual components as system inputs with scientific accuracy. This highlights the potential for a field of substantive societal dynamics.